

CITY OF SUTHERLIN
Regular City Council Meeting
Sutherlin Civic Auditorium
Monday, October 27, 2014 – 7:00PM

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Tom Boggs, Frank Egbert, Patricia Klassen, Todd McKnight, Karen Meier, Forest Stone

MAYOR: Denny Cameron

CITY STAFF: City Manager, Jerry Gillham

City Recorder/HR Manager, Debbie Hamilton

Deputy City Recorder, Diane Harris

Finance Supervisor, Dan Wilson

Chief of Police, Kirk Sanfilippo

City Engineer, Jeff Nelson

Public Works Operations Supervisor, Aaron Swan

Sutherlin Interim Fire Chief, Rich LaBelle

Fire District #2 - Chief, Greg Marlar

City Attorney, Chad Jacobs (via Skype)

Audience: Sierra Moon, Joe Groussman, Peggy & Colin Frazier, Robin Gentry, David Taylor, Richard & Faye Fink, Wes & Wanda Hamrick, Pam Cameron, Bertha Egbert, Beth Houseman, Elizabeth Turner, Jean Galleher, Tami Trowbridge, Susan Osland, Joseph Moore, Mike Meier, Michelle Sumner, Jean & Robert Pollock, Jack Trowbridge, Justin Peterman, Jess Terrel, Zada Wright, Gloria Beidler, Gene & Cookie Green Brian Burke Sr., Floyd Van Sickle, Glenn Galusha, David Taylor

Meeting called to order by Mayor at 7:00pm

Flag Salute:

Roll Call: All present

Introduction of Media: Garrett Andrews, News Review

PUBLIC COMMENT (agenda items only)

- **Beth Houseman** –Friends of Ford’s Pond (FFP) Secretary and resident, thanked Council for the opportunity to respond and clarify comments made at last Council meeting. Referred to minutes stating Councilor Meier said “at the Commissioner’s forum they stated that parks must be maintained by someone else and not public’s money”, but on the audio the words “park tax levy” were words voiced by Councilor Stone. Was approached at her residence a few days later by a person with a candidate’s flyer which boldly stated “the FFP is a group who has asked the City Council for a park tax levy for one million dollars”. This was news to the FFP group, as well as disturbing; and would like to set the record straight.
 - FFP formed for the purpose to being able to apply for private and government grants and funding available only to nonprofits, and to solicit private and public donations.
 - This money could then be donated to the City as matching funds to apply for municipal grants essentially doubling the “free money” without using any tax payer’s money.
 - FFP has not, nor intends to ask the City for any funding for a park tax levy.

Houseman further reported Councilor Meier also stated “she resents people coming in here and telling Council they need Class A water when they don’t have a plan themselves. If there is a plan, bring it to the City.” Regarding the FFP “not having a plan”, Houseman stated she has given presentations at three Council Workshops, a public meeting on May 1st, the Parks Advisory Committee meeting, as well as numerous times at Council meetings having never been asked for more information.

The following is FFP's plan:

- Apply to the IRS for 501C3 status, necessary in order to solicit donations and apply for grants.
- Reach out to potential user groups and work together with the Parks Advisory Committee to develop a comprehensive long range master plan.
- While waiting for IRS approval we would assist the City in establishing and maintaining a safe, primitive trail around the pond along with advertising activities and events. Assist with litter removal and other minor details maintenance while further expanding the organization's board, committees and supporter base.
- The Class A issue has not been settled and neither a park nor partnership has been formally established.
- FFP cannot apply to the IRS until all three issues are solved.

Would like to extend an invitation for Council to hear more of FFP's vision and meet onsite [Ford's Pond].

ANNOUNCEMENTS/APPOINTMENTS

None

PRESENTATIONS/PROCLAMATIONS

- **Chamber of Commerce/Visitor Center**

Chamber of Commerce President, Tami Trowbridge, distributed financial statements dated through October 15, 2014.

Updates:

- Billboard now advertising upcoming events with plans to add the symbols to billboard in the future.
- Working on Christmas light decorations; will be refurbishing the existing snowflake decorations for one more year.
- Made down payment on the first commemorative "Flag-rock" featuring the Oregon flag.
- Recently attended Main Street Conference in McMinnville. Was an energizing and motivating experience; examples from other cities were presented showing how they have invigorated their downtown areas.
- Sutherlin Area Chamber of Commerce Board (SACC) has talked to some Sutherlin Downtown Development Inc. (SDDI) officers regarding the proposed Central Avenue/ODOT transfer. They are very interested in the City accepting Central Avenue from ODOT. This transfer can offer many opportunities in the beautification of the city, as well as setting aside funds for future maintenance.

Councilor Stone shared an idea from another city regarding a chainsaw carving competition event as part of their beautification projects. After the event the city keeps the carvings for display.

Chamber welcomes all types of suggestions and ideas.

- **League of Oregon Cities**

Councilor Klassen reported she recently attended the annual League of Oregon Cities Conference. Was able to attend two of the classes that were offered:

- **The Gift of Talking and Listening:** It has been proven a person actually hears faster than you speak. When you are talking to someone and giving your opinion, that person has already started answering in their mind before you have finished what you're saying. Therefore, you have to be able to take the listening side of it and stop yourself from commenting mentally before hearing the entire comment. Handouts are available for those who would like a copy.
- **Returning Veterans and the Responsibilities of Cities:** An interesting fact featured in the class was that the reason Oregon has such a high number of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in military returnees is there is not a military facility [base] for them to go to. A reference book was provided for City Hall to have available for veterans who need contact information.

Mayor Cameron – Were there suggestions on how Sutherlin can benefit or do what we can do regarding this situation? *They seemed to focus more on Eugene and their available programs. We have the VA Hospital available to area veterans for medical needs that most cities do not have.*

CONSENT AGENDA

- **October 13, 2014 Minutes – Regular Meeting**

MOTION made by Councilor McKnight to approve consent agenda as presented; second by Councilor Boggs.

Discussion: None

In Favor: Councilors Boggs, Egbert, Klassen, McKnight, Meier, Stone and Mayor Cameron

Opposed: None

Motion carried unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS/GENERAL BUSINESS

- **Ordinance – Marijuana Tax (first reading, by title only)**

Staff Report – City Manager, Jerry Gillham, deferred to City Attorney, Chad Jacobs

Deputy City Recorder, Diane Harris, provided first reading of ordinance, title only.

Jacobs stated at last meeting Council requested this be brought back for reconsideration. The legal issue is it would need to be adopted and go into effect before Measure 91 goes into effect, if approved by voters November 4th. If it is not adopted unanimously tonight [per City Charter] a special meeting will need to be scheduled before next Tuesday’s election for a second reading in order to enact the ordinance.

Questions and concerns:

- If a 10% tax is decided upon, would we be able to change that percentage later? *Council can enact whatever percent they want, later that can be repealed if City no longer wants it. The risk level is less as opposed to increasing the tax, however it could create a legal argument that you are imposing a tax, even at the lower rate after Measure 91, if passed, goes into effect.*
- Could Council put a “stay” on it and enact it but not enforce it? Therefore not tying the hands of future Councils who then at a later date can come back and make reference to the fact that it passed and then enforce it. *Any time you don’t collect a tax that has been imposed you run a risk of the inability of imposing that tax in the future.*
- Would a license be required much the same as a liquor license or the used merchandise permit already required by the City? *A license is required through the State in order to sell marijuana. City would be able to add some provisions to the City Code to include requirements regarding the sale of marijuana.*

Councilor Meier quoted Clatsop County District Attorney, Josh Marquis, “He suspects cities are going to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars each fighting this.” *If in fact this goes to litigation some city is going to foot the legal bill to defend that litigation. If the City decides to enact this, and then gets sued, it can always be repealed, making that litigation mute and not have to go into court. Hundreds of thousands of dollars, Jacobs believes is a gross exaggeration.* He said “each” City as in “all the cities”. *I don’t think that is going to happen, plaintiffs will pick and choose where they would bring a lawsuit, picking venues where they would have a better opportunity of winning.* I don’t want to see marijuana in the City, but would like to focus on keeping it out of the City without having to tax it.

- City is only entitled to have one liquor store due to the population, are there any restrictions on the number of marijuana pharmacies that can be in the city? *I don’t believe there is anything in the law based on population.*
- Believe this is going to create issues with public safety and law enforcement, City needs to have revenue to be able to address the issues.
- How will the state’s tax be dispersed and at what percentage? *State imposes a 20% tax, 10% goes to counties and 10% to cities. After the first couple of years it is distributed based on city’s population, there after it is based on the number of licensed entities in the city’s jurisdiction.*

Councilor Boggs asked if anyone in the audience would like to speak on this issue.

- **Wes Hamrick** – Resident, does not smoke marijuana but has become educated on the medicinal properties of hemp oil as a cure for cancer.
Councilor Boggs – *Council doesn't have a problem with the medical marijuana; the concern tonight is recreational marijuana.* Don't see how you can differentiate from the two, both are marijuana. *The difference is purpose.*
- **Joe Groussman** – Resident, directed his question to Chief of Police, Kirk Sanfilippo, concerned with juveniles in Sutherlin and if they are more prone to Crystal Methamphetamine (Meth) or marijuana? *Do not have the actual data available.* Groussman feels Meth is more of a problem in cities than marijuana.
- **David Taylor** – Resident, isn't a doctor's prescription required for this, it's either for fun or medical? Don't understand Council's actions and thinking it would eliminate one or the other.
- **Glenn Galusha** – Resident, reported Colorado is getting only 50% of the originally projected amount expected. Questions City getting the cart before the horse, people that can get it as a prescription can get that filled now. Don't understand enacting a tax right now without knowing what will happen. Will not stop illegal drugs, it will cost more money.
- **Beth Houseman** – Resident, commented on Councilor Boggs question regarding restrictions on the amount of liquor stores that can be in town, but no policy is in place regarding population in relation to marijuana pharmacies. Does not want the city to have more of a burden regarding the need for extra law enforcement. Encouraged Council to move forward with the tax.
- **Michelle Sumner** – Feels the city should have a [marijuana] tax, concerned if Sutherlin is one of the only cities that doesn't; does not want to encourage these "pot shops" because we have no tax.

MOTION made by Councilor Klassen to approve first reading of Ordinance adding a new Chapter 3.16 to the Sutherlin Municipal Code to establish a tax on the sale of Marijuana and Marijuana infused products; second by Councilor Boggs.

Discussion: None

In Favor: Councilors Boggs, Egbert, Klassen and McKnight

Opposed: Councilors Meier, Stone and Mayor Cameron

Motion carried.

Mayor Cameron – Council needs to schedule the special meeting for the second reading of the Ordinance. Meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, October 29, 2014 at 7:00pm.

REPORTS

• **Fire Services Update**

Interim Fire Chief, Rich LaBelle, reported past two weeks of activities:

- Attended two Staff meetings and a Council meeting
- Attended South Douglas County Fire Chief's meeting
- Continued recruitment, two training nights per week, one in Sutherlin and one in Oakland
- Worked on Automatic Aid Agreement with Jacobs, presented it to Fair Oaks and Oakland Fire Districts
- Presented training proposal to Fair Oaks and Oakland Fire Districts
- Toured Sutherlin Fire Station, took pictures and looked at equipment and training dates
- Ordered uniforms for the academy, official start date – December 3, 2014
- Sent out flyers in Water/Sewer bills
- Met with Bay City Ambulance to discuss future ASA's (Ambulance Service Areas)
- Met with E.F. Recovery to discuss Hazmat and finance recovery for motor vehicle accidents or calls outside the city. For example if there is an accident on I-5, a person can recover the cost for Sutherlin Fire going out on the call. E.F. Recovery will help recover the cost and will charge a small fee for doing so.

• **60-Day Follow-up**

Staff Report – City Manager, Jerry Gillham, stated the intent is to provide an update on these five items and open them up for discussion.

Mayor requested Gillham give a quick explanation of each report.

1. Revisit Issues – Downtown Store Front Improvements

City Manager reported that Community Development Director, Vicki Luther, has provided options for possible consideration.

Questions and concerns:

- Concerns regarding two buildings on the corners of West Central and Calapooia, Vera's and [Neon Moon]. Propose taking a look at the buildings and assess the issues; if the buildings need to be torn down, try to move forward with that.
- Referred to empty lot downtown [next to China Station Buffet]; reported Cottage Grove constructed plywood fronts and painted murals to cover empty buildings and areas.
- Could be an idea for a future contest; invite artists to paint murals, city to keep the finished piece. Use Ford's Pond as an example, could paint wildlife or birds as part of the contest.
- SDDI and the Beautification Committee had a plan for the empty lot that was mentioned. Would like reports from time-to-time regarding their plans and goals.

Councilor Klassen confirmed there are plans for the lot; would be no problem sharing their ideas and goals with Council. SDDI in conjunction with the Sutherlin Area Chamber of Commerce (SACC) would like to turn that area into an outdoor café. Details were shared regarding the plan for the property.

- Suggested having an art contest to cover the walls in that area

Pam Cameron – She recently visited the city of Quebec who has a youth program for at risk kids who are mentored by community people who help them with art projects throughout the city. The program gives the kids something to be proud of, building their confidence. Suggest having area art teachers and seniors that have talent be part of a program in Sutherlin.

2. Public Access to Murphy/GP Ponds

City Manager – Question was posed at a previous meeting regarding where Murphy stands concerning public access to the pond. They do not want to encourage public use on the property, even though some people do use it; it is at their own risk. It is not an open invitation for use.

3. Methodology Used by Other Cities – Clean Towns

City Manager – Referred to methodology used in Baker City when he was there, explaining it is principally citizen driven. They were approached by a group of citizens who felt there should be accountability regarding their town, asking the city to step up their process. Baker City re-structured their code enforcement, making the code proactive rather than complaint driven. Staff and crew, along with necessary equipment (Baker City has their own full time street construction crew) abated properties.

Mayor asked Gillham if he had a suggestion on what Sutherlin should do. *Allocate more monies for enforcement, reminded Council this was a gradual process. Code Enforcement Officer would contact person regarding issues with property, much like in Sutherlin, however the point is you have to have a citizen's arena that recognizes it and supports it.*

- Questioned the ability to initiate that kind of energy from the community.

City Manager – It's much like the Friends of Ford's Pond group who work together and say "we want to change our city up." It starts first and foremost with code enforcement and Council recognition. If we do this it will cost more money and take time.

Chief of Police, Kirk Sanfilippo – Recently re-drafted the ordinance, progress was made and it is still complaint driven. Complaints have been addressed without incidents.

- Could City formulate our own tax break?

City Manager – Council can create some sort "store front improvement program" reduce property taxes by, for example, 5% for a five year period in exchange for fixing up the store front. Sometimes that can be problematic too. Baker City was unique in having the personnel, built-in resources, equipment and the will to do so.

- Suggest offering \$500 to anyone that would do an improvement in twelve months.

Mayor – Would like this on the agenda for further discussion

City Manager – Suggest working with SDDI and SACC, have a workshop, discuss ideas and bring back options for Council to consider.

4. Water Rights

City Manager – Three areas of work are being focused on:

- What our water rights mean for Ford's Pond in terms of our effluent and how it is managed
- Water rights within the wastewater side
- Water rights on the water side

Currently working on a number of water rights that are certificated. Some water rights are registered but not certificated and two are rights are *just* declared water rights but we have not done anything with. The intent is to get that all cleaned up, get as high level of certificated as possible and have an assurance of plentiful water in the next 20 to 40 years.

Councilor Klassen – Do you have a timeline to get this all completed? ***Ford's Pond issue and the laying out of the framework with water rights examiner within this fiscal year; budgeting the next phase and scope of work in next year's budget. This is estimated as a year and a half to two year project.***

Councilor Klassen – More concerned with the water rights on the Umpqua River and certificated ones. ***Those will be in next year's budget and very expensive; monies will need to be set aside. Priority is to get Ford's Pond resolved first and foremost.***

Mayor – Concerned that water rights associated with Ford's Pond are an issue now rather than addressing them before. ***The issue with Ford's Pond is there is already a claim to a portion of water that is in the pond; when we put our effluent in there, can we get control of our water right.*** Have read that if Class A water gets contaminated it can cause problems. Should the City set aside a portion of that pond because of the responsibility to the olive orchard to have a natural supply of water? ***That is what we are working on.***

5. Rate Equity

City Manager – A rate equity timeline and calendar has been provided for the update.

Councilor Meier – Last year Council directed Staff to bring back a rate increase of \$6, we haven't done anything. It looks like we won't be doing anything for another year. ***Gillham recalled the thought was before we did just a unilateral \$6, and we could only go up a certain percentage because of the CPI for water usage, the rate equity needed to be resolved before adding the monthly charge, which involves the 23 different rates.*** What I see happening now, is you're going to have the plant completed in 2018 there will only be a couple of years of rate increases to put any money into that. ***Staff could bring back an ordinance at next meeting for the rate; it could always be amended once the rate equity is completed.*** We're talking about a \$60 or \$70 base rate for residential, if you continue to push this down the road you'll have a \$30 increase on the base rate all at once.

Mayor Cameron – Explained reason \$6 base increase was not implemented, Finance Advisor, Andy Parks, approached Council asking how they would like to have the rate divided up, believes it was around 14% per year for so many years; believes there were some stipulations mentioned, as well.

City Manager – Will discuss drafting an ordinance with Parks regarding the base rate and present at next meeting.

• Engineer's Evaluation – Central Avenue

City Engineer, Jeff Nelson, presented a PowerPoint slide show for proposed Central Avenue Jurisdictional Transfer from ODOT. Timeline, existing street conditions and financial considerations were presented. Department supervisors met and discussed concerns regarding storm and sewer overflow issues. When he came on board he started reviewing the initial estimate of around \$1.1 million; however one important piece that was not included was the new traffic signal at Central Avenue and Calapooia, increasing the total cost to \$1.5 to \$1.6 million. The plan is to use the funds that ODOT provides as a grant match; in addition they have agreed to be a joint applicant in the grant application process, which will help the City substantially during the application process; total grant amount is approximately \$5 million. Will work with ODOT to finalize project costs to develop and implement project improvements.

Questions and concerns:

- What will the \$5 - \$6 million dollars do for us, and is there a cost analysis of that? ***Gillham – One of the intentions is to rebuild the sidewalks, but streetscape improvements and underground power in some areas can be added, as well. Cost analysis is not available yet.***
- Concerned with continuing maintenance, it is already difficult to keep up with our current streets, would need a plan for long term future maintenance. ***Currently the City already has responsibility for maintenance for half of that main vein; the other half is ODOT's. We are***

actually working on an idea and a conceptual plan to address long term maintenance issues by taking a piece of that grant money and putting it into a long term maintenance fund. Are you aware if that grant will allow that?

Nelson – We will look at the structural so it will handle heavy load traffic.

City Manager – There are three criteria in this:

- Has this grant come before them before; yes, was in the “hopper” last year
- ODOT to co-sponsor the grant – yes
- Only require a 10% match, if City asks for \$5 million with a \$1.5 million dollar match, giving us \$6.5 million project, and would significantly earn points for the funding.
- A traffic signal at Comstock and Central Avenue is needed, is this included in the plans? ***Not part of the \$1.5 million, but could be part of the \$5 million grant.***
- Got hit with a significant amount of infrastructure issues on Comstock project, what will we do about this one? ***It’s one of the burdens when rebuilding an older street. May not have enough accurate information and may have to deal with it as you go. Is \$6 million going to cover it? It will be an improvement of what is there now, we can make it work.***
- What size storm drain will need to be put in there? ***Operations Supervisor, Aaron Swan – There are several outflows there, it’s not just one main storm drain throughout the city.***
Nelson – We will be referring to the Storm Water Master Plan.
Swan – The storm drain itself would probably not be as extensive as Comstock’s. The outflows are already in place, but it is costly and complicated. The new 18” water main down Central would not be an issue but, some water and sewer services may be in conflict.
- If we do not get the grant could we back out of the \$1.5 million and leave as is? ***Yes, and we will have it in writing as part of the agreement.***
- Would like a certain amount of money built in to cover the unknown

City Manager – City Engineer’s primary purpose is to help protect us and put the pieces in place and cover some of these concerns.

- Is Staff mapping the changes that were made during the Comstock project? ***Swan – Yes, we are mapping, and have installed tone wire to everything that we’ve changed and have a record of that. There are utilities that were not moved; therefore, was unable to install tone wire to those.***
- Concerned that \$1.5 to \$1.6 is enough to cover Comstock to Calapooia after listening to the all of the speculative problems may be closer to \$2 million.

Discussion continued regarding the potential costs of the project. Question arose regarding if ODOT would still be responsible for a traffic light at Comstock/Central. The Central Ave. transfer project quotes are for grinding inlay, basically replacing the top surface. If we are going to make those roads so they can take log trucks, how much more will it cost us?

- Concerned that every log truck out there has to pay a road tax to ODOT, however if the City takes that over the City will not receive that.

Mayor – Would like to direct Staff to go back and ask for more substantial reconstruction of the road, and could put together some figures, ask them if they would be willing to “beef it up” for heavy load traffic.

- Should already have highway impact studies available for review

CITY MANAGER UPDATE

- None

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS

Councilor Boggs –

- None

Councilor Egbert –

- Concerned with driveway into Beauty Shop on Comstock, questioning why a curb cut wasn’t done to allow customers to pull in and park rather than a driveway at either end. The parking has been cut out, concerned with the repercussions to the business.

Swan – This is currently a county road project, because of that the City had very limited power regarding this project. The county’s engineer has very strict rules about those openings. We

have met with businesses and property owners; they have all been reasonably satisfied. Some had concerns and limited understanding regarding right-of-ways and a portion of their property being taken away, however that property actually belongs to the City.

Councilor Klassen –

- Concerned with the current election, there have been bitter comments similar to the last election regarding the annexation. Have never seen such nasty comments, accusations and half-truths; so much has been put out on the internet. Some things were circulated after the Candidates Forum, [held up novemberiscoming.info flyer], this reads like you [Councilor Meier], did you write this and put it on the internet? ***Councilor Meier – I coordinated it, yes. Why? Because comments at the forum that were not true. That’s not accurate. Comment at the forum that Sutherlin has not built a park in many years, and we just finished a park, you also stated we’ll be starting a park in December and that comes out of the Parks Construction Fund. Red Rock Path is not a park.***

Councilor McKnight –

- Would like to thank Lion’s Club and recognize their efforts in sponsoring the Halloween Carnival at West Intermediate they did an excellent job; there were lots of people who attended the event.

Councilor Meier –

- Was disappointed listening to Dyer Engineer, Steve Major, last Council meeting. We were told \$3.50 was going to be the cost for Class A water, another consultant said it would be .40 cents.
- Was also disappointed after talking to another engineer, fish won’t live in Class A water unless it’s aerated. Need to look at that aspect and how much that would cost.
- The Phosphorous from effluent causes algae growth; we haven’t looked at treating that.

Councilor McKnight – Whoever that information is coming from, can you bring it to us?

Councilor Meier – I can.

Councilor McKnight – Wherever you’re getting your source bring it to us and let’s look at it.

Councilor Meier – There have been different alternatives proposed, it seems we could do this a lot cheaper, think it’s something we need to look at as a Council.

Councilor Boggs – There are fish living in the ponds at the golf course and that is Class C water. Need to have an expert answer that question.

Councilor Stone –

- Would like to reiterate that a traffic light is needed on the west side of the freeway, it’s almost impossible to cross during the busy time of the day.
- South Comstock is looking great.
- Update on Urban Growth regulations? ***Will do an internal summit and try to figure out how to do it, but as said before opening up the urban growth boundary (UGB) to do anything requires review of the comprehensive plan.***

Jacobs – Basically under state law, certain cities over 10,000 are required to do a periodic review of their comprehensive plan. Cities under 10,000 are not required to do the periodic review. However, if you want to expand your UGB you have to go through that process and redo the comprehensive plan to expand the UGB.

We are not really expanding it as much as “swapping”.

Mayor – Questions how we were able to get approximately 200 home sites when we annexed the property around Ford’s Pond. How did that happen without revising the comprehensive plan?

Jacobs – ***Wasn’t that already in the UGB?*** Yes.

- Is Ford’s Pond Earthquake proof, does City have and liability since this is an “earthen” damn? ***From what we are told by insurance agent, no we don’t. State Lands are meeting with our crews this week and will be able to ask that question.***

Mayor Cameron –

- Update on Purchase Order module? ***Finance Supervisor, Dan Wilson – In the process of transitioning and changing of staff, but am in process learning purchase order module.***

- Have not heard anymore regarding the application of [Caselle's] Project Management module, cost is \$15,000. *Not currently pursuing this, can add it to next year's budget if you would like.* Yes, think it would be a very good idea.
- Concerned with sidewalks at the end of South Comstock not completed. Road should comply with City standards. *We are working on it, will discuss options with the county regarding the standards that need to be completed.* Would like to find out the costs to finish, as well.
- Suggest reconsidering possibility of residential development around Ford's Pond, previously mentioned as a selling point. If we are discussing rezoning and working on the comprehensive plan, may be a good time to look into that. *Would Council like Staff to discuss this with the realtor that gave the appraisal of the property?* Need to know the best way to move forward. *Have worries about opening up the comprehensive plan. Until we get confirmation from DLCD do not want to move too aggressively until we have more coordination and input from them.*

PUBLIC COMMENT –

- **Jean Galleher** – Resident. Thanked City Staff and Council for presentation on website. Disappointed that after looking at presentation, reviewing minutes, hearing citizen input, plus a number of reports and other presentations; I ask myself, what now? When will the City finally move forward, at what point do you say “I have all of the information” and vote?
- Friends of the Library are having a book sale Friday, October 31st and Saturday, November 1st, from 9 – 3:00pm.
- Audubon Group will be having a field trip November 8th at Ford's Pond.
- Would like to encourage Council to make a decision according to what citizens commented on at last meeting. When will this go back on agenda for a decision?

Councilor Egbert – Probably when we get all the information.

Galleher – It has been my experience you can never have 100% of the information, at some point you have to move forward.

Mayor Cameron – There is a broad plan that needs to be more specific. You are asking us to put Class A on the agenda and vote on it.

Galleher – Would like to see a response back to all of the citizens that attended two weeks ago.

Mayor Cameron – You have heard from people in support of Class A water, I have also heard comments from people that don't want a park there; they think it will bring tourism and also maintenance problems.

Councilor Meier – If Class A is truly the cheapest and the best way to go then we would be silly not to have Class A water.

Mayor Cameron – There are still many questions to answer.

- **Bertha Egbert** – Would like to comment on South Comstock project, concerned with a section where a curve is and lack of adequate drainage during heavy rains, water is draining opposite way.
- Would also like to comment on the election campaigns and nasty comments about herself on Rants & Raves, it's not necessary.
- **David Taylor** – Confirmation Wednesday night's meeting will be for the decision regarding the Marijuana Tax. *Yes.*

ADJOURNMENT –

With no further business meeting adjourned at 10:06pm.

Approved:

Jerry Gillham, City Manager

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Harris, Deputy City Recorder

Denny Cameron, Mayor